1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 Case No.: 4 GENEITHE EDWARDS, 5 Plaintiff, 6 V. 7 CHASE BANK USA, N.A., **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 8 Defendant. 10 11 12 **COMPLAINT** 13 GENEITHE EDWARDS ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, 14 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against CHASE BANK 15 USA, N.A. ("DEFENDANT"): 16 17 **INTRODUCTION** 18 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Telephone Consumer Protection 19 1. 20 Act, 47 U.S.C. §227. 21 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 22 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331. See 23 24 Mims v. Arrow Fin. Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 747, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012). 25 26 27 - 1 -PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

28

- 3. Defendant regularly conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, thus, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Tobyhanna, Pennsylvania 18466.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).
- 7. Defendant is a corporation with its principal place of business located at 200 Ottawa Avenue NW, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503.
- 8. Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number.
- 11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone.
- 12. Beginning in November or December 2015, Defendant began to contact Plaintiff repeatedly on her cellular telephone.

- 13. When contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system and/or pre-recorded voice.
 - 14. Each call began with an automated recording.
- 15. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes;" rather, Defendant was attempting to collect a monthly balance remaining on her auto loan obtained from the Defendant.
- 16. Plaintiff spoke with Defendant in January 2016 and revoked any consent that she may have given them to contact her on her cellular telephone and home telephone numbers.
- 17. Defendant heard and acknowledged Plaintiff's request to stop calling by responding that Plaintiff would "continue to receive calls."
- 18. Once Defendant was told the calls were unwanted and to stop, there was no lawful purpose to making additional calls, nor was there any good faith reason to place the calls.
- 19. Plaintiff repeatedly told Defendant's representatives to stop the calls from January through May 2016.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant conducts business in a manner which violates the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.

<u>DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER</u> <u>PROTECTION ACT</u>

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 22. Defendant initiated automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system.
 - 23. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.
- 24. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, on and after January 2016, were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.
- 25. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
- 26. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
- 27. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, GENEITHE EDWARDS, respectfully prays for	· a
2	judgment as follows:	
3 4	a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C.	§
5	227(b)(3)(A);	
6	b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone ca	all
7	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);	
8	c. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per violative telephone ca	all
9 10	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);	
11	d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);	
12		
13	e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.	
14	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
15	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, GENEITHE EDWARDS, demand	ls
16	a jury trial in this case.	
17	Respectfully submitted,	
18		
20	DATED: May 20, 2016 By: /s/ Amy Lynn Bennecoff Ginsburg Amy Lynn Bennecoff Ginsburg, Esq.	
21	Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 East Butler Pike	
22	Ambler, PA 19002	
23	Telephone: (215) 540-8888 Facsimile (215) 540-8817	
24	Email: <u>aginsburg@creditlaw.com</u> Attorney for Plaintiff	
25		
26		
27	- 5 - DI AINTIEE'S COMPLAINT	